arXiv:hep-ph/0108126v1 15 Aug 2001

Scattering of Leptons in Hot and Dense Media

Samina S. Masood
Physics Department, Rochester Institute of Technology, 85 Lomb Memorial Driv

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We study the propagation of leptons in hot and dense media and estimate the background corrections to the purely leptonic processes in the early universe and in the stellar cores. We show that the first order radiative corrections to purely leptonic processes in the real-time formalism can easily be evaluated without going into the resummation of thermal loops in these media. It is also possible to express the hot and dense corrections to the leptonic scattering cross-sections in terms of the corresponding corrections to the decay rates of the (heavy) intermediate particles in the real-time formalism upto the one loop level.

1 Introduction

It is now well known that the stellar cores can have very high temperatures and densities[1]. It therefore looks more reasonable to incorporate the finite temperature and density (FTD) effects in the study of stellar media. The physics of superdense collapsing stars is expected to be better understood incorporating the statistical corrections due to the hot and dense background in the stellar cores. The superdense collapsing stars like supernovae have core temperatures around 30-70 Mev and the chemical potential of the electrons $\mu_e \sim 250-300$ Mev [2], which is sufficiently below the masses of W and Z. So the important contributions from the background can be obtained from the hot and dense leptons in the background heat-bath at thermal equilibrium. The standard big bang model of cosmology [3] also predicts the creation and annihilation of leptons in the very early universe. These processes were taking place during the first few seconds of the creation of the universe and leptons were the first matter particles. The cross sections of these reactions are also related to the expansion rate of the universe[3]. The temperatures of the universe at that time were high enough to show non-negligible contribution of the hot and dense lepton background. The contributions due to the hot electroweak massive bosons is not included because the background particle energies are not sufficiently high to have real W's and Z's in the background. Moreover, the lepton background effects will always dominate over these heavy mass particles.

We discuss here the pure leptonic decays and cross-sections in the Standard Electroweak Model (SEWM). We will not discuss background radiative corrections to pure QED processes (Bhabha and Moller Scatterings) here, because they deserve a detailed independent study of the topic, which is not in the scope of this paper.. We mainly evaluate the first order radiative corrections to the neutral current as well as the charge current processes in hot and dense lepton background. Moreover, the first order radiative corrections to the inelastic and to the elastic scatterings of leptons in the standard model are discussed through the neutral current or the charged current processes taking into account the thermal equilibrium of leptons and photons in the background . Strictly speaking, we restrict ourselves to the SEWM so the additional corrections to these processes from the extended standard model [4,5] are not incorporated in the same statistical background. For this purpose we will have to consider the massive neutrino physics in such a medium. We restrict ourselves to the temperatures where the light particles can only be found in the background heat-bath and the first order QED type radiative corrections are significant. We use covariant real time formalism [6,7] in which the renormalization of QED at finite temperature and density (FTD) up to one loop level [7,8] have been discussed in detail, for all possible ranges of T and μ. It has also been checked that the renormalization scheme of QED in vacuum works perfectly at FTD and there is no need of resummation [9] at the one loop level in QED for real particle systems. It is mainly because of the reason that at the sufficiently low temperatures the hard thermal loops cannot appear as the background temperature is not high enough to generate real hadrons to incorporate the statistical corrections from hadronic background. Moreover, the box diagrams with the overlapping phases do not contribute[10]. Therefore, the 1-1 component of the propagator is important considering the physical particles in the background [7,8].

The renormalization constants of QED have been evaluated upto the two loop levels in literature[11]. We don't need to incorporate the overlapping phases, because the overlapping phase contribution is automatically approximated out. These additional thermal contributions can then be estimated easily by applying the renormalization techniques of QED. This procedure is not similar to Cutkowski Rules[12]. We are not using any cutting of diagram as a rule, it is the approximation under which we keep the leading order contributions and ignore the rest. We could do it only because the real time formalism give thermal/statistical correction term as the separate additive terms in all kind of Feynman diagrams. We use the renormalization scheme of QED and since the angle of scattering is independent of statistical background parameters, we can simply express the cross-sections in the form of the decay rates. Using the values of these renormalization constants evaluated in the real time formalism we can easily evaluate the first order radiative corrections to the decay rates and the scattering cross-sections. The first order radiative corrections to the decay rates of $\mathrm{H}^0 \longrightarrow \ell\overline{\ell}$ [7,8] and $\mathrm{Z}^0 \longrightarrow \ell\overline{\ell}$ [13] have already been calculated for temperatures above the chemical potentials. We generalize these techniques to evaluate the background corrections to the leptonic decay processes of real particles and give a general discussion of the QED type corrections to the electroweak vertices at FTD. Section 2 comprises the calculational scheme, whereas, we give the analytical forms of the radiative corrections in different limits of temperatures and chemical potentials in section 3.

We have made use of all the existing results of the QED renormalization constants and filled up the gaps wherever they were. We had made use of the renormalization constants to estimate the decay rates of the relevant particles and then related the lepton scatterings to these decay rates. All the calculations are done in the standard electroweak model. Some of the possible applications of these results in astrophysics and cosmology are discussed in Section 4.

2 Calculational Scheme

The statistical background corrections to the physical processes are calculated using the Feynman rules of vacuum theories. However, the particle propagators are modified in hot and dense medium using the distributions of the background particles. The boson propagator in the real-time formalism can be written as

$$D_B^\beta = \frac{1}{k^2 + i\epsilon} - 2\pi i \delta(k^2) n_B(k) \qquad (1)$$

and the fermion propagator as,

$$S_F^\beta = (\not{p} - m_\ell)\left[\frac{1}{p^2 - m_\ell^2 + i\varepsilon} + 2\pi i \delta(p^2 - m_\ell^2) n_F(p)\right] \qquad (2)$$

with the Bose-Einstein distribution,

$$n_B(k) = \frac{1}{e^{\beta k_0} - 1} \qquad (3)$$

for massless vector bosons. This automatically leads to the vanishing mass density and hence the chemical potential [14]. The Fermi-Dirac distribution is given by [15]

$$n_F(p) = [\frac{\theta(p_0)}{e^{\beta(p_0-\mu)} + 1} + \frac{\theta(-p_0)}{e^{\beta(p_0+\mu)} + 1}] \qquad (4)$$

such that the chemical potential of fermions is always equal and opposite to the corresponding antifermions. Since all the particle species are in thermal equilibrium, it is convenient to expand the distribution functions in powers of βp_0, where β is the inverse temperature and p_0 is the energy of the corresponding particles. T, μ and m are all expressed in the same units.We obtain[16]

$$n_F \overset{\mu \prec T}{\rightarrow} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n e^{n\beta(\mu - p_0)} \qquad (5a)$$

and

$$n_F \overset{\mu \succ T}{\rightarrow} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n e^{-n\beta(\mu - p_0)} + \theta(\mu - p_0) \qquad (5b)$$

for particles, and

$$n_F \overset{\mu \prec T}{\rightarrow} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n e^{-n\beta(\mu + p_0)} \qquad (5c)$$

and

$$n_F \overset{\mu \succ T}{\longrightarrow} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n e^{-n\beta(\mu + p_0)} \qquad (5d)$$

for antiparticles in the corresponding temperature and density regime. We do all our calculations by the corresponding substitution of the distribution functions from Eqs.(5). We have explicitly checked the validity of the renormalization scheme of QED by reproducing the physically measurable results of the first order background corrections to the decay rates by adding all the one loop diagrams with those by replacing the vacuum parameters with the corresponding renormalized parameters at FTD upto the one loop level.

3 Calculational Details

We discuss the renormalization constants of QED in hot and dense medium. We mention here the results of the first order radiative corrections to the self mass, wavefunction and the charge of electron (QED coupling). We have used the renormalization scheme of QED in the calculation of radiative corrections to the gauge vertices of electroweak theory. We have explicitely demonstrated the order by order cancellation of singularities upto the two loop level in QED. We have calculated the QED type of hot and dense background corrections to the $Z^0 \rightarrow \ell\overline{\ell}$ electroweak vertex to explicitely check the renormalizability of the corresponding gauge theories and to evaluate the radiative corrections. The renormalization constants of QED have already been calculated upto the two-loop level in our scheme[11]. We will be using this renormalization scheme in our calculations to estimate the statistical background corrections.We briefly mention the previously calculated renormalization constants of QED and tried to put everything together in the convenient form and have tried to fill the gaps if there were any. We refer to the existing results for the details, wherever it is relevant. Then using all these results we go to the calculations of the decay rates. Then we discuss, how we can use the radiative corrections to the decay rates to evaluate the first order radiative corrections to the crossections. We have to rewrite the already existing results sometimes, to develop a uniform way of writing everything for the comparison purposes.

3.1 Renormalization of QED

We calculate the QED type radiative corrections here so we use the renormalization of QED and make use of the renormalization constants given in literature [4-18] in the relevant ranges of T and μ. We only rewrite them in the convenient form. The FTD radiative corrections to the electron mass $\frac{\delta m}{m}$ correspond to the renormalization constant of electron at T $\geq \mu$ and can be expressed as [7]

$$\frac{\delta m}{m} \simeq \frac{\alpha \pi T^2}{3m^2}\left[1 - \frac{6}{\pi^2}\widetilde{c}(m\beta,\mu)\right] + \frac{2\alpha}{\pi}\frac{T}{m}\widetilde{a}(m\beta,\mu) - \frac{3\alpha}{\pi}\widetilde{b}(m\beta,\mu) \tag{6}$$

with

$$\widetilde{a}(m_\ell\beta,\mu) \simeq \frac{1}{2}\ell n\left[\left(1+e^{-\beta(m_\ell-\mu)}\right)\left(1+e^{-\beta(m_\ell+\mu)}\right)\right] \tag{7a}$$

$$\widetilde{b}(m_\ell\beta,\mu) \simeq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n \cosh(n\beta\mu)\,\mathrm{Ei}(-nm_\ell\beta) \tag{7b}$$

$$\widetilde{c}(m_\ell\beta,\mu) \simeq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n \cosh(n\beta\mu)\frac{e^{-n\beta m_\ell}}{n^2} \tag{7c}$$

and, in the limit T $\leq \mu$, $\frac{\delta m}{m}$ appears [17] to be

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta m}{m} \simeq\ & \frac{\alpha\pi T^2}{3m^2} - \frac{2\alpha}{\pi m^2}\left(\frac{\mu^2}{2}(1-\frac{m^2}{\mu^2}) - \frac{A(m\beta,\mu)}{\beta} + \frac{C(m\beta,\mu)}{\beta^2}\right) - \frac{3\alpha}{\pi}[\ell n\frac{\mu}{m} + B(m\beta,\mu)] - \\ & -\frac{\alpha}{8\pi}\left[\left(1-\frac{m^2}{\mu^2}\right) - \frac{m^2}{2\mu^2} + n_F\,(\mu - m) + m\beta\frac{e^{-\beta(\mu-m)}}{[1+e^{-\beta(\mu-m)}]^2} + m^2 D(m\beta,\mu)\right]\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

with

$$A(m_\ell\beta,\mu) = \mu\ell n 2 - m\ell n[1+e^{-\beta(\mu-m)}] \tag{9a}$$

$$B(m_\ell\beta,\mu) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n\, e^{-n\beta\mu}\,\mathrm{Ei}(nm\beta) \tag{9b}$$

$$C(m_\ell\beta,\mu)=\frac{\pi^2}{12}+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n}{n^2}e^{n\beta(m-\mu)} \qquad (9c)$$

$$D(m\beta,\mu)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{(n\beta)^2}{2}\left[\ell n\frac{\mu}{m}-\frac{1}{2n\beta\mu}+e^{-n\beta\mu}\,\mathrm{Ei}(nm\beta)\right] \qquad (9d)$$

for electron. It is also worth-mentioning that for T $\geq \mu$ the electrons and positrons get equal contribution from the background. However when T $\leq \mu$ the situation is different. In the classical limit T $\ll m < \mu$ and A,B,C,and D functions vanish for electrons and the chemical potential correspond to the Fermi energy. In this case the there will be no antiparticles in the background and we don't have to bother so much about the self-mass corrections to positrons. However, just for completenes we evaluate the background contribution to the positron mass at T $\leq \mu$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta m}{m} \simeq\ & \frac{\alpha\pi T^2}{3m^2}-\frac{2\alpha}{\pi m^2}\left(\frac{\overline{A}(m\beta,\mu)}{\beta}-\frac{\overline{C}(m\beta,\mu)}{\beta^2}\right)-\frac{3\alpha}{\pi}\overline{B}(m\beta,\mu)- \\ & -\frac{\alpha}{8\pi}\left[n_F(\mu-m)+m\beta\frac{e^{-\beta(\mu+m)}}{\left[1+e^{-\beta(\mu+m)}\right]^2}+m^2\overline{D}(m\beta,\mu)\right]\end{aligned} \qquad (10)$$

with

$$\overline{A}(m\beta,\mu)=m\ell n[1+e^{-\beta(m+\mu)}] \qquad (11a)$$

$$\overline{B}(m\beta,\mu)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n e^{-n\beta\mu}\,\mathrm{Ei}(-nm\beta) \qquad (11b)$$

$$\overline{C}(m\beta,\mu)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^n}{n^2}e^{-n\beta(m+\mu)} \qquad (11c)$$

$$\overline{D}(m\beta,\mu)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n\frac{(n\beta)^2}{2}\,\mathrm{Ei}(-nm\beta)e^{-n\beta\mu} \qquad (11d)$$

The wavefunction renormalization constants can be obtained from the selfmass of the corresponding particle using Ward identities[18]. We have obtained the renormalization constant of the e$^-$ and e$^+$wavefunction at T $\geq \mu$,as

$$\begin{aligned} Z_2^{-1} &\simeq Z_2^{-1}(T=0) - \frac{2\alpha}{\pi}\int \frac{dk}{k} n_B(k) - \frac{5\alpha}{\pi}\widetilde{b}(m_\ell\beta,\mu) - \frac{\alpha}{\pi v}\frac{1}{E^2\beta^2}\ell n\frac{1-v}{1+v}\times \\ &\times[\frac{\pi^2}{6} - \widetilde{c}(m_\ell\beta,\mu) + m\beta\widetilde{a}(m_\ell\beta,\mu)] \qquad (12) \end{aligned}$$

whereas, in the limit T $\leq \mu$ one obtains different forms of the wavefunction renormalization for particle and antiprticle. The e$^-$and e$^+$ wavefunction in the high chemical potential limit come out to be[17]

$$\begin{aligned} Z_2^{-1}(e^-) &\simeq Z_2^{-1}(T=0) - \frac{2\alpha}{\pi}\left(\int \frac{dk}{k} n_B(k) - \frac{2}{3}\frac{\pi^3 T^2}{E^2}\frac{1}{v}\ell n\frac{1+v}{1-v}\right) - \\ &\frac{\alpha}{2\pi E^2}\ell n\frac{1+v}{1-v}\left[\frac{\mu^2}{2}\left(1-\frac{m^2}{\mu^2}\right) - \frac{C(m_\ell\beta,\mu)}{\beta^2} + \frac{A(m_\ell\beta,\mu)}{\beta}\right] - \\ &-\frac{\alpha E^2}{8\pi m^2}\left[\left(1-\frac{m^2}{\mu^2}\right) - \frac{m^2}{2\mu^2} + n_F(\mu-m) + m\beta\frac{e^{-\beta(\mu-m)}}{[1+e^{-\beta(\mu-m)}]^2}\right] \\ &+\frac{\alpha E^2}{8\pi}D(m\beta,\mu) - \frac{3\alpha}{\pi}\left[\ell n\frac{\mu}{m} + B(m_\ell\beta,\mu)\right] \qquad (13a) \end{aligned}$$

The positron wavefunction renormalization can easily be evaluated by replacing the distribution function of electron by that of positron in highly dense systems. It comes out to be

$$\begin{aligned} Z_2^{-1}(e^+) &\simeq Z_2^{-1}(T=0) - \frac{2\alpha}{\pi}\left(\int \frac{dk}{k} n_B(k) - \frac{2}{3}\frac{\pi^3 T^2}{E^2}\frac{1}{v}\ell n\frac{1+v}{1-v}\right) - \\ &-\frac{\alpha}{2\pi E^2}\ell n\frac{1+v}{1-v}\left[\frac{\overline{A}(m\beta,\mu)}{\beta} - \frac{\overline{C}(m\beta,\mu)}{\beta^2}\right] - \frac{3\alpha}{\pi}\left[\overline{B}(m\beta,\mu)\right] \\ &-\frac{\alpha E^2}{8\pi m^2}\left[\left(1-\frac{m^2}{\mu^2}\right) - \frac{m^2}{2\mu^2} + n_F(\mu-m) + m\beta\frac{e^{-\beta(\mu-m)}}{[1+e^{-\beta(\mu-m)}]^2}\right] \\ &+\frac{\alpha E^2}{8\pi}\overline{D}(m\beta,\mu) \qquad (13b) \end{aligned}$$

The electron charge renormalization in QED is determined through the self-energy of photons. So, the fermion charge renormalization constant in the limit T $\geq \mu$,it comes out to be [18]

$$Z_3 \sim Z_3(T=0) + \left[\frac{8\alpha}{m^2\pi}\left\{\frac{m\widetilde{a}(m\beta,\mu)}{\beta} + \frac{1}{4}\left(m^2 + \frac{\omega^2}{3}\right)\widetilde{b}(m\beta,\mu) - \frac{\widetilde{c}(m\beta,\mu)}{\beta^2}\right\}\right] \tag{14}$$

and at T $\leq \mu$ it appears to be

$$Z_3 \sim Z_3(T=0) + \frac{8\alpha}{m^2\pi}\left\{I_1 + \frac{1}{4}\left(m^2 + \frac{\varpi^2}{3}\right) I_2 - \frac{1}{4}m^4 I_3\right\} \tag{15}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mu^2}{2}\left(1 - \frac{m^2}{\mu^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2\beta}\big(A(m\beta,\mu) - \overline{A}(m\beta,\mu)\big) - \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\beta^2}\big(C(m\beta,\mu) - \overline{C}(m\beta,\mu)\big) \end{aligned} \tag{16a}$$

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{2}\left[\ell n\frac{m}{\mu} + \big(B(m\beta,\mu) - \overline{B}(m\beta,\mu)\big)\right] \tag{16b}$$

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= \frac{1}{4m^2}\left(1 - \frac{m^2}{\mu^2}\right) - \frac{1}{8\mu^2} + \frac{1}{2m^2}\left[n_f(\mu+\beta) + n_f(\mu-\beta)\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{\beta}{2m}\left(\frac{e^{-\beta(\mu+m)}}{[1+e^{-\beta(\mu+m)}]^2} + \frac{e^{-\beta(\mu-m)}}{[1+e^{-\beta(\mu-m)}]^2}\right) + D(m\beta,\mu) - \overline{D}(m\beta,\mu) \end{aligned} \tag{16c}$$

The fact that QED is renormalizable in this regime and also there is no doubt about the renormalizability of electroweak theory because no extra hot infrared singularities enter into the calculations due to the massive intermediate vector bosons. All the background corrections to the electroweak processes are suppressed by the square of the electroweak gauge boson masses as compared to the lepton masses so we don't have to incorporate them here.

It has been explicitely checked that the QED renormalization scheme works perfectly in the quantum statistical medium. The calculations of the leptonic decays, using the renormalization constants at FTD reproduces all the existing results obtained by adding all the first order diagrams in QED. In the next subsection we discuss the leptonic decay rates in hot and dense background in a little bit more detail to see that how can we use these decay rates to evaluate the leptonic crossections.

3.2 Leptonic Decays

The radiative corrections to the decay rates of charged leptons can be estimated from the renormalization constants of QED . The decay rate of Z^0 (i.e.e;the Z width) is an important gauge vertex of the electroweak theory. We have evaluated it in the limit T$\geq \mu$ in the rest frame of Z^0. It comes out to be equal to [14]

$$\frac{\Gamma^\beta(Z^0\to\ell\bar{\ell})}{\Gamma_0(Z^0\to\ell\bar{\ell})}\simeq\frac{4\alpha T}{\pi m_Z v}\left[\left(1-\frac{2m_\ell}{m_Z}+\frac{4m_\ell^2}{m_Z^2v^2}\right)\ell n\frac{1+v}{1-v}-\frac{2m_\ell}{m_Z v}\left(3+\frac{4m_\ell^2}{m_Z^2v^3}\right)\right]\widetilde{a}(m_\ell\beta,\mu)$$

$$\frac{4\alpha}{\pi}\left[1+\frac{2m_\ell^2}{m_Z^2v^2}-\frac{1}{2v}\left(1-\frac{5m_\ell^2}{4m_Z^2v^2}+\frac{m_\ell^2}{4m_Z^2v^4}\right)\ell n\frac{1+v}{1-v}\right]\widetilde{b}(m_\ell\beta,\mu)$$

$$\frac{4\alpha}{\pi}\left[\frac{2T^2}{m_Z^2v}\left\{\left(1+\frac{m_\ell^2}{m_Z^2v^3}\right)\ell n\frac{1+v}{1-v}+\frac{1}{v}\left(3-\frac{2m_\ell^2}{m_Z^2v^2}\right)\right\}\widetilde{c}(m_\ell\beta,\mu)\right] \qquad (17)$$

and the scalar Higgs decay rate is calculated in the rest frame of Higgs which comes out to be equal to [17]

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Gamma^\beta(H^0\to\ell\bar{\ell})}{\Gamma_0(H^0\to\ell\bar{\ell})} &\simeq \frac{4\alpha T}{\pi m_H^2v^2}\left[-m_\ell+\frac{1}{v}\left(m_\ell-m_H\frac{1+v^2}{4}\right)\ \ell n\frac{1-v}{1+v}\right]\widetilde{a}(m_\ell\beta,\mu)+\\ &\frac{2\alpha}{\pi v^2}\left[3v^2-v\ell n\frac{1-v}{1+v}+22\frac{m_\ell^2}{m_H^2}-\frac{1}{2}\right]\widetilde{b}(m_\ell\beta,\mu)-\\ &\frac{8\alpha T^2}{\pi v^3m_H^2}\left[\ell n\frac{1-v}{1+v}+3v\right]\widetilde{c}(m_\ell\beta,\mu) \qquad (18)\end{aligned}$$

m_H is the mass of the neutral Higgs of SEWM. We generalize the existing results of Ref.[17] to evaluate the Higgs decay rate at high densities at non-negligible temperatures. We use the approximation $m_H^2 >> m_\ell^2$ to ignore the higher order terms in $\frac{m_\ell^2}{m_H^2}$. The calculational techniques are same as are used in Ref.[17]. This can be considered as a straight forward generalization because all the techniques are same. The neutral Higgs decay rate for $\mu \succeq T$, then appears to be equal to

$$\frac{\Gamma^\mu(H^0\to\ell\bar{\ell})}{\Gamma_0(H^0\to\ell\bar{\ell})} \simeq \frac{4\alpha}{\pi v^2}\frac{f_1(E)}{2E}A(m_\ell\beta,\mu)+\frac{4\alpha}{\pi v^2}\left(\frac{9m_\ell^2}{\pi}-vf_2(E)\right)\frac{B(m_\ell\beta,\mu)}{2E^2}+$$

$$+\frac{4\alpha}{\pi v^2}\left(\frac{vf_5(E)}{6m_\ell^3E^3}\right)D(m_\ell\beta,\mu)+\frac{4\alpha}{\pi v^2}\left(\frac{vf_3(E)}{2m_\ell E^2}\right)G(m_\ell\beta,\mu)+$$
$$+\frac{4\alpha}{\pi v^2}\left(\frac{3m_\ell^2}{2\mu_\ell^2}\left[\frac{3m_\ell^2}{\mu_\ell^2}+\frac{2p^2}{\mu_\ell^2}-1\right]-\frac{f_4(E)}{4m_\ell^3E^3}\right)H(m_\ell\beta,\mu) \tag{19}$$

with

$$f_1(E)=E\frac{1+v^2}{1-v^2}\ln\frac{1-v}{1+v} \tag{20a}$$

$$f_2(E)=\left(m^2+\left(1-v^2\right)E^2\right)\frac{1}{v^2}\ln\frac{1-v}{1+v}+2pE\frac{1+v^2}{v^2} \tag{20b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}f_3(E) &= \left(m^2+\left(1+v^2\right)E^2\right)\frac{E}{v^2}\ln\frac{1-v}{1+v}+3pE^2\frac{(1+v^2)}{v^2}+\\ &+\frac{2p}{v}m^2+4E^2p\end{aligned} \tag{20c}$$

$$\begin{aligned}f_4(E) &= \left(m^2+\left(1+v^2\right)E^2\right)\frac{E^3}{v^2}\ln\frac{1-v}{1+v}+7pE^4\frac{(1+v^2)}{v^2}+\frac{7pE^2m^2}{v}+\\ &+\frac{10p^2-m^2}{v^2}p\left(m^2+\left(1+v^2\right)E^2\right)+4E^4p\end{aligned} \tag{20d}$$

$$\begin{aligned}f_5(E) &= \left(m^2+\left(1+v^2\right)E^2\right)\frac{E^4}{v^2}\ln\frac{1-v}{1+v}+\left(2p^2+5E^2\right)E^4\frac{(1+v^2)}{v^2}+\frac{7pE^3m^2}{v}+\\ &+\frac{m^2+(1+v^2)E^2}{v^2}\left(10p^2-m^2\right)pE+\frac{(1+v^2)}{v}pm^2E^3+4p\left(p^2+m^2\right)E^3\end{aligned} \tag{20e}$$

and the functions G&H are given as

$$G(m\beta,\mu)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n\left[\beta n\,\mathrm{Ei}(-nm\beta)+\frac{e^{-nm\beta}(n\beta)^2}{m}\right]e^{-n\beta\mu} \tag{9e}$$

and

$$H(m\beta,\mu)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n\left[\frac{(\beta n)^2}{2}\,\mathrm{Ei}(-nm\beta)+\frac{n\beta e^{-nm\beta}}{m}\right]e^{-n\beta\mu} \tag{9f}$$

We use the rest frame of Z^0 and also the energy of Z^0 is high enough to ignore higher order contribution from the distribution function. We include all the one loop Z diagrams including the bremstrahlung. The calculational scheme is very similar to the one adopted previously in Ref.[13,17]. We conclude that the leading order contributions due to the highly dense background comes out to be some complicated function of several parameters given below. They are even more complicated than the results of Eqs.(19 & 20). We postpone the detail discussion of these results for now.

$$\frac{\Gamma^{\mu}(Z^0 \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell})}{\Gamma_0(Z^0 \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell})} \sim F\left(E, M_Z, \mu, \ell n\frac{1-v}{1+v}\right) \tag{21}$$

The calculational scheme which we have been using throughout can actually help us to evaluate the statistical corrections to any leptonic decay processes. We, therefore, evaluate the QED type radiative corrections to the meson decay in the statistical background. We make use of the renormalization constants of QED upto the one loop level and replace all the relevant bare parameters with the corresponding FTD corrected values.

The thermal corrections to the decay rates of neutral mesons like pions and kaons can also be calculated in a similar way. They simply comes out to be very similar to the thermal corrections to the Higgs decay rates. This happens only when we cannot consider any corrections to the vertices other than QED type radiative corrections. It appears to be an over-simplification of the mathematical calculations but the results are acceptable in the statistical theory where we need to assume real highly relativistic hot particles in the background. This is the beauty of thermodynamics that we have to be in the systems where we can deal with the real particles on mass shell in the heat bath. Now the heavy particles exchanging contributions can easily be ignored as compared to the lighter ones based on the expected relativistic behavior

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\Gamma^{\beta}(K^0 \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell})}{\Gamma_0(K^0 \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell})} \simeq\ & \frac{\alpha T}{\pi E^2 v^2}\digamma_1\left(m_{\ell,}E, \ell n\frac{1-v}{1+v}\right)\widetilde{a}(m_\ell\beta, \mu) + \\
& \frac{2\alpha}{\pi v^2}\digamma_2\left(m_{\ell,}E, \ell n\frac{1-v}{1+v}\right)\widetilde{b}(m_\ell\beta, \mu) - \\
& \frac{2\alpha T^2}{\pi v^3 E^2}\digamma_3\left(m_{\ell,}E, \ell n\frac{1-v}{1+v}\right)\widetilde{c}(m_\ell\beta, \mu)
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_{2,}$ and $\mathcal{F}_3$ are very similar to the corresponding coefficients in Eq.17. Main difference occurs in the bare decay rate, but the radiative corrections (especially the leading order QED type contributions) are fairly similar and so are easy to evaluate for leptonic decays of mesons also. We have always preferred to use the rest frame of the decaying particles to evaluate these contributions.

However, $4E^2 = m_{meson}^2$, whereas, m_{meson} correspond to the mass of the decaying meson and ℓ correspond to the lighter leptons($\mathrm{m}_\ell \prec m_{meson}$). For heavy mesons the above relations can also hold for taons. In the leptonic decays of charged leptons, the vertex corrections terms do not get any background contribution. So, we can simply replace rest masses and the vacuum couplings by the corresponding physical masses and the background renormalized couplings. The radiative decays of the charged mesons should however appear like

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\Gamma^\beta(K^\pm \rightarrow \gamma\ell^\pm\nu_\ell)}{\Gamma_0(K^\pm \rightarrow \gamma\ell^\pm\nu_\ell)} &\cong \frac{\alpha}{\pi}\int_0^\infty dk n_F\left(p \mp \mu\right) x \\ &\left\{\left[2 + \left[1 + v^2 - \frac{2m_\ell^2}{m_K^2}\right]\frac{1}{v}\ln\frac{1+v}{1-v}\right]\frac{1}{k} + \frac{k}{m_K^2 v}\left[1 + \frac{1}{v}\ln\frac{1+v}{1-v}\right]\right\}\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

In these kind of three body decay processes, the phase space corrections due to the absorption and emission of photon at the electron leg of this vertex contribute. We can evaluate Eq.23 for all the interesting ranges of temperature and chemical potential using the corresponding expressions of $n_F\left(p \mp \mu\right)$. All the physical parameters, like electron charge mass and the wavefunction are needed to be replaced by the corresponding renormalized (background corrected) values. These relations are mentioned in the next section in Eqs.24. We can evaluate the radiative corrections to different leptonic processes upto the one loop level in almost all the ranges of temperature and chemical potential in our frame of calculations. However, leptonic scatterings are very important in the early universe when the temperatures were sufficiently smaller than the electroweak gauge bosons mass and still high enough to use the limit T$\gg m_e$, for m_e to be the electron mass in the electron distribution function. We can obviously incorporate the FTD corrections using our frame of calculation. Since the high density corrections, where densities are much larger than the masses, we have to be careful to ignore FTD corrections which are not QED type. Also, in those dense systems, we might need to incorporate the magnetic field effects also. So, we postpone the discussion of lepton scatterings in the highly dense systems at the moment. In the next section, we evaluate the lepton scatterings at high temperature and low densities and try to investigate that how the background corrections can effect the lepton scattering cross-sections in the early universe and also their implications to the big bang cosmology.

3.3 Scatterings of Leptons in Electroweak Theory

In the calculation of the cross-sections, one can easily make use of the renormalization constants of QED evaluated in hot and dense media.The leptonic scatterings can either take place in QED or in the electroweak theory. QED is important for charged particle processes of $\ell\overline{\ell} \rightarrow \ell\overline{\ell}$ whereas ℓ correspond to the charged leptons only. In the standard model additional leptonic processes of the type $\ell^{\pm}\nu_\ell \rightarrow \ell^{\pm}\nu_\ell$or $\ell\overline{\ell} \rightarrow \nu\overline{\nu}$.would also be expected. The individual lepton number conservation would be required in the standard model. A very quick estimate of the background corrections can be made by simply replacing the bare lepton masses, charge of electron(QED couplings) and the wavefunctions by the background renormalized mass, charge, and wavefunctions correspondingly. So, we can directly replace the bare mass of lepton be the corresponding renormalized mass of leptons given as

$$m_\ell \rightarrow m_\ell[1 + \frac{\delta m_\ell}{m_\ell}] \qquad (24a)$$

The wavefunction of charged fermions by the corresponding renormalized wavefunction given as

$$\Psi_\ell \rightarrow Z_2\Psi_\ell \qquad (24b)$$

and the coupling constant or the charge of electron can be rewritten as

$$e \rightarrow Z_3^{-1}e \qquad (24c)$$

The values of all the coupling constants can be used for the given limits of temperature and the chemical potential from previous sections. The phase space corrections can also be obtained from the cross-sections of the corresponding processes directly. Just to keep ourself limited to the electroweak scatterings of particles in the relevant temperature and density regime, we discuss in a little more detail, the electroweak scattering of leptons in the physical conditions of the early universe.

The purely leptonic scatterings can either take place in QED or in the electroweak theory. In QED, the elastic or inelastic scatterings of charged leptons, namely $\ell\bar{\ell} \rightarrow \ell\overline{\ell}$ can take place for $\ell = \bar{\ell}$ or $\ell \neq \bar{\ell}$. We have explicitely checked that the QED renormalization scheme works perfectly well in hot and dense medium upto the one loop level. Due to this reason, it makes no difference if we calculate each and every first order diagram separately and add them together or calculate the matrix elements directly from the renormalization constants we reach the same result. It has also been noticed that the radiative corrections are obtained in a power series of $\frac{m_\ell^2}{m_X^2}$ where m_X correspond to the mass of exchanging heavy particle. We use this approximation because the heavy particle exchange in the standard basically allows the exchange of W$^{\pm}$, Z^0 , and the standard model Higgs H^0. These particles have such a heavy mass values that we can easily ignore the higher order terms in the power series of $\frac{m_\ell^2}{m_X^2}$. Due to the presence of this small parameter, we have to restrict to the first order radiative corrections. Higher order in the coupling constant makes the radiative corrections fairly negligible. Moreover, the temperature of our system is small enough to make the terms proportional to $\frac{T^2}{m_X^2}$, even more negligible. Starting from the matrix element for $\ell\bar{\ell} \rightarrow \ell\overline{\ell}$,we have to replace the ordinary vacuum fermion and boson propagators by the corresponding FTD propagators from Eqs.1&2. Now, using the properties of Dirac δ, we can only get the nonzero contributions which are of the same form which we obtain in the decay rates because the radiative corrections from one vertex can be considered at a time to be in the lowest loop level. .In this situation, the statistical contributions to the cross-section can directly be related to the decay rate upto the first order in α. We can write it as

$$\frac{d\sigma^\beta(\ell\bar{\ell} \rightarrow \ell\overline{\ell}\,)}{d\sigma_0(\ell\bar{\ell} \rightarrow \ell\overline{\ell}\,)} \longrightarrow 2\frac{\Gamma^\beta(Z^0 \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell})}{\Gamma_0(Z^0 \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell})} + 2\frac{\Gamma^\beta(H^0 \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell})}{\Gamma_0(H^0 \rightarrow \ell\bar{\ell})} \tag{25}$$

At the very low energies, where exchanging particles have very low momenta, the contribution of all of these diagrams is added up together to give

$$\frac{d\sigma^\beta(\ell\bar{\ell} \rightarrow \ell\overline{\ell}\,)}{d\sigma_0(\ell\bar{\ell} \rightarrow \ell\overline{\ell}\,)} \approx \frac{4\alpha T}{\pi m_Z v}\left[\left(1 - \frac{2m_\ell}{m_Z} + \frac{4m_\ell^2}{m_Z^2 v^2}\right)\ell n\frac{1+v}{1-v} - \frac{2m_\ell}{m_Z v}\left(3 + \frac{4m_\ell^2}{m_Z^2 v^3}\right)\right]\widetilde{a}(m_\ell\beta, \mu) +$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{4\alpha}{\pi}\left[1+\frac{2m_\ell^2}{m_Z^2v^2}-\frac{1}{2v}\left(1-\frac{5m_\ell^2}{4m_Z^2v^2}+\frac{m_\ell^2}{4m_Z^2v^4}\right)\ell n\frac{1+v}{1-v}\right]\widetilde{b}(m_\ell\beta,\mu)+\\
&\frac{4\alpha}{\pi}\left[\frac{2T^2}{m_Z^2v}\left\{\left(1+\frac{m_\ell^2}{m_Z^2v^3}\right)\ell n\frac{1+v}{1-v}+\frac{1}{v}\left(3-\frac{2m_\ell^2}{m_Z^2v^2}\right)\right\}\widetilde{c}(m_\ell\beta,\mu)\right]+\\
&\frac{4\alpha T}{\pi m_H^2v^2}\left[-m_\ell+\frac{1}{v}\left(m_\ell-m_H\frac{1+v^2}{4}\right)\ \ell n\frac{1-v}{1+v}\right]\widetilde{a}(m_\ell\beta,\mu)+\\
&\frac{2\alpha}{\pi v^2}\left[3v^2-v\ell n\frac{1-v}{1+v}+22\frac{m_\ell^2}{m_H^2}-\frac{1}{2}\right]\widetilde{b}(m_\ell\beta,\mu)-\\
&\frac{8\alpha T^2}{\pi v^3m_H^2}\left[\ell n\frac{1-v}{1+v}+3v\right]\widetilde{c}(m_\ell\beta,\mu) \qquad (26)
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives the leading order contribution at very high temperatures as

$$
\frac{d\sigma^\beta(\ell\overline{\ell}\to\ell\overline{\ell}\,)}{d\sigma_0(\ell\overline{\ell}\to\ell\overline{\ell}\,)}\sim\frac{2\alpha\pi T^2}{v^2}\left[\frac{1}{3m_Z^2}+\frac{1}{m_H^2}\right] \qquad (27)
$$

for the photon energy $E^2 >> m_\ell^2$, and T $\geq \mu$.

The radiative corrections to the scattering cross-sections of charge current processes can be evaluated in terms of the decay rates of W mainly.

$$
\frac{d\sigma^\beta(\ell^\pm\nu_\ell\to\ell^\pm\nu_\ell)}{d\sigma_0(\ell^\pm\nu_\ell\to\ell^\pm\nu_\ell)}\longrightarrow 2\frac{\Gamma^\beta(W^\pm\to\ell^\pm\nu_\ell)}{\Gamma_0(W^\pm\to\ell^\pm\nu_\ell)} \qquad (28)
$$

This contribution is always proportional to $\frac{T^2}{m_\ell^2}.\frac{1}{m_W^2}$.So, larger contributions are obtained for larger temperatures.

For the limits of T $\leq \mu$, in the relativistic limit, it turns out to be a comparatively complicated expression. We don't want to involve in the detailed discussion of these contributions because in the early universe and in the stable stars we usually come across the limit $T \succeq m \succeq \mu$. Just to have an idea of the expression, we calculate the leading order contribution, at large chemical potentials due to the Higgs exchanging vertex, which comes out to be like,

$$
\frac{d\sigma^\mu(\ell\overline{\ell}\to\ell\overline{\ell}\,)}{d\sigma_0(\ell\overline{\ell}\to\ell\overline{\ell}\,)}\approx\frac{2\alpha\mu}{\pi v^2E}\ell n\frac{1+v}{1-v}+\frac{\alpha E^3}{6\pi vm^3}\left(1+\ell n\frac{1+v}{1-v}\right) \qquad (29)
$$

T $\succ \mu$ could be important for the superdense collapsing stars like supernova. It can be clearly seen that each term can have infrared divergences in certain limit. We can play with the comparative limits to find physically interesting limits. The Z^0 vertex will not be any different. Only the coefficients of mass divergent terms like $\ell n\ \frac{1+v}{1-v}$ would be different. This thing may give some help to address the stability of the superdense stars also. But, in such collapsing systems the densities are high enough to worry about the magnetic fields. We therefore, postpone this discussion for the moment and move over to the conclusion of our present results.

4 Results and Discussions

The results calculated in the previous section are mostly relevant from the point of view of cosmology. In the beginning of the universe, the temperature of the universe was close to the decoupling temperature, and the leptonic processes were taking place. Eq.27 shows the radiative corrections to the cross-section are simply proportional to T^2 which is the standard expected results in literature Ref.[18,19]. It is also worth mentioning that the above mentioned results are correct in the standard model. In the extended standard model, we have to incorporate massive neutrino effects and several other contributions.

The present experimental limit [20] on the standard model Higgs mass is $m_H \succ 107 GeV$. In this situation, we cannot further simplify Eq.27, because we cannot ignore one term as compared to the other in bracket due to comparable contributions. Just to have a very quick estimate of the magnitude of this contribution, we can assume the mass of Higgs to be 100 GeV, T =2MeV and the standard values of the gauge boson masses to use them in Eq.27. The radiative corrections from the background, then appears to be,

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\beta}(\ell\overline{\ell} \to \ell\overline{\ell}\,)}{d\sigma_0(\ell\overline{\ell} \to \ell\overline{\ell}\,)} \to 10^{-10} \qquad (30)$$

This contribution would be increased with increasing temperature but cannot go beyond a couple of orders higher, otherwise, the validity of the calculational approximations would be questionable after T $\succ$ a few tens of MeV. It happens because we deal with the exponential functions in the statistical distribution functions, and the approximations used for them are usually for a small range.

Therefore, we reach the conclusion that FTD corrections to the scattering cross-sections are not so much significant in the early universe. But, the energy density of the universe goes with the fourth power of these scattering cross-sections [3], so we still need to evaluate it to improve the precision in estimating the energy density of the early universe. On the other hand, the FTD corrections are not so insignificant after the universe was at a little lower temperature and the nucleosynthesis started taking place[22].

5 References and Footnotes

1. Georg G.Raffelt,'Stars as Laboratories for Fundamental Physics',Chicago University Press,1996.
2. R.Barbieri and P.Mohapatra, Phys.Lett. B61,23(1988)
3. See for example, S.Weinberg 'Gravitation and Cosmology' (Wiley, New York, 1972) and references therein
4. K.S.Babu and V.S.Mathur, Phys.Lett. B196, 218 (1987); M.Fukujita and T.Yanagida, Phys.Rev.Lett.58,1807(1987)
5. Pal and Mohapatra, Massive Neutrinos in Physics and Astrophysics' (World Scientific publication, 1991)
6. L.Dolan and R.Jackiw, Phys.Rev. D9,3320(1974)
7. P.Landsman and Ch. G. Weert, Phys.Rep.145,141(1987) and references therein
8. See for Example, K.Ahmed and Samina Saleem (Masood) Phys.Rev D35,1861(1987);ibid, 4020(1987); ibid,Ann.Phys.164,460(1991) and references therein.
9. E.Braaten and R.D.Pisarski, Nucl. Phys. B339,310(1990); ibid, B337, 569(1990)
10. Private communication with prof.W.Hollick
11. Mahnaz Qader, Samina S.Masood and K.Ahmed, Phys.Rev.D44,3322(1991); ibid,D46,5633(1992)and references therein. See also Ref.[7].
12. R.Kobes and G.W.Semenoff, Nucl.Phys. B260,714(1985); ibid, B272,329(1986)

13. Samina S.Masood and Mahnaz Qader,Phys.Rev.D46,5110(1992).
14. See for example,L.D.Landau and E.M.Lifshitz,'Statistical Physics'(Pergamon Press L.D.LandauLondon,1968)
15. E.J.Levinson and D.H.Boal, Phys.Rev. D31,3280(1985)
16. Samina S.Masood,Phys.Rev.D48, 3250(1993) and references therein.
17. Samina S.Masood,Phys.Rev.D44,3943(1991),;ibid,D47,648(1993) and references therein.
18. See for Example, A.Weldon, Phys.Rev.D26,1394(1992),ibid;2789(1982), and Ref.[8]
19. A.Niegava Phys.Rev.Lett.,71,3055(1993), Naoki Ashida,et.al.; Phys.Rev. D45, 2066(1992),;A.Niegawa, Phys.Lett.B247,351(1990) and references therein
20. R.D.Pisraski, Phys.Rev.Lett.63:1129,1989, ibid; Physica A158:246-250,1989
21. ALEPH Collaboration, Phys.Lett. B499 (2001) 53-66
22. Samina Saleem (Masood), Phys. Rev. D36 , 2602 (1987).